UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,640	12/20/2004	Masayuki Furuya	1034232-000029	2846
	7590 08/15/200 INGERSOLL & ROOI	EXAMINER		
POST OFFICE	BOX 1404	KRISHNAN, GANAPATHY		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1623		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/15/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ADIPFDD@bipc.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/518,640	FURUYA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	Ganapathy Krishnan	1623	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>11 July 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaviteal (with appeal fee) in compliance w	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE ).  On which the petition under 37 CFR 1.13	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FIL 36(a) and the appropriate	n. LED WITHIN TWO e extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	hortened statutory period for reply origin	nally set in the final Offic	e action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered and a considered amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be a considered after a final rejection and a considered after a final rejec	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec	E below); ducing or simplifying th	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).  4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12  5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed an endowable claim(s).  7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [ how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prove the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	35 USC 112, second paragraph re owable if submitted in a separate, t	<u>ejection of claims 1, 21</u> imely filed amendmer	-23 and 28. It canceling the
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,21-23 and 28. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but			
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).  9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	date of filing a brief, w il and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	vill <u>not</u> be s to provide a ).
<ul> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered but see continuation sheet.</li> </ul>		•	
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Shaojia Anna Jiang, Ph.D./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1623			

Applicants have traversed the rejection under 35 USC 103 arguing that:

- 1. As shown in the Declaration of Mr. Nagatomo, Experiment 5 which corresponds to the method of Toshiyuki et al, produces high yield of a mono-glycoside compound. Experiment 4 in the said Declaration, which corresponds to the instant method produces high yileds of the diglycoside compound. The difference in the results in Experiments 4 and 5 is due to reaction temperature and concentration of acetic acid in the reaction system during the reaction.
- 2. Experiments 2 and 3 in the Declaration, which use conditions that are outside the scope of the instant invention produce mono glycoside as the main product.
- 3. According to applicants they have discovered that there is an equilibrium between the gallic acid methyl ester (starting material), monoglycoside and diglycoside based on the concentration of the byproduct and acetic acid. Surprisingly the equilibrium will be shifted to produce the diglycoside when acetic acid has been removed. Toshiyuki does not teach or suggest the temperature range as instantly claimed and discloses a method for making the monoglycoside compound and not a diglycoside.

Applicants' arguments and the Declaration of Mr. Nagatomo have been considered but they are not found to be persuasive.

First of all, the product as instantly claimed is made by reacting gallic acid methyl ester with glucose pentaacetate. The first step in this reaction is the formation of the monoglycoside of gallic acid methyl ester and acetic acid (by-product). Now, inorder to form the diglycoside, the monoglycoside of gallic acid methyl ester should react with a second mole of glucosepentaacetate. This second step will also generate acetic acid as a by product. Since gallic acid methyl ester moiety in the monoglycosideproduct has two unreacted OH groups, these OH groups can react with the acetic acid generated to form the acylated monoglycoside. This would be a competing side reaction (leading to an unwanted product) in additiion to the reaction of the second mole of gucosepentaacetate to form the diglycoside (desired product). The reaction of acetic acid with the OH group of the gallic acid methyl ester moiety of the monoglycoside to give the unwanted by product is an esterification reaction (reaction between an acid and an alcohol). This is a fundamental reaction in organic chemistry that is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art. Inorder to prevent this unwanted side reaction from taking place in the system the skillled artisan knows that the acetic acid that is generated in the system should be removed as and when it is formed. The removal of acetic acid under reduced pressure is taught in the prior art of record in an analogous reaction (Toshiyuki, page 3, paragraph 9). Moreover, Toshiyuki (comparative example 1, page 4) teaches that an analogous reaction when performed at higher temperature gives low yields and also causes the browning of the product. So, one of ordinary skill in the art would want to remove the acetic acid and keep its concentration below 1.0 % or even less in order to suppress the unwanted reaction of the monogloyoside with the acetic acid since this prevents the addition of a second glucose unit to the gallic acid part to form the diglycoside. Based on the teaching regarding the browning of the product and also low yields obtained, one of skill in the art would want to run the reaction at a lower temperature. Also it is well known to the skilled artisan that using reduced pressure will lower the boiling point of acetic acid and this will help remove it by distillation at a lower temperature as and when it is formed in the reaction system. The lower temperature will also avoid the browning of the product. It is well within the skill level of the artisan to adjust the temperature and pressure of the reaction to an optimal level such that the reaction proceeds at a reasonable rate and also the temperature at which the reaction is performed is high enough to distill the acetic acid out of the system without causing the browining of the product.

Applicants showing that Experiments 2 and 3 which use conditions outside the scope of the instant invention give the monoglycoside as the main product is also an expected result. In these two experiments the acetic acid is not removed. Since it is present in the reaction system it will react with the hydroxyl group of the gallic acid methylester monoglycoside to form the acylated derivative. Since this reaction consumes some of the gallic acid methylester monoglycoside, the amount of gallic acid methylester monoglycoside available to react with a second mole of glucose pentaacetate is reduced and hence the low yield of the diglycoside.

Therefore, applicants assertion that they have discovered that there is an equilibrium between the gallic acid methyl ester, mono glycoside and diglycoside based on the concentration of acetic acid by- product and that the removal of acetic acid under the conditions as instantly claimed, is not an unexpected discovery. It is a well known fact based on the type of reaction taking place. This is also well known to one of ordinary skill in the art and the skilled artisan will recognize all of this from the teaching of the prior art and his or her own general knowledge. Toshiyuki may not teach the formation of a diglycoside compound. But the skilled artisan knows that his teaching can be extended to the preparation of the diglycoside compound as instantly claimed since the chemistry is the same and requires the use of an excess of the glucose pentaacetate. There is a suggestion in the prior art that the instant method can be used to make the product of instant formula (3) with a reasonable expectation of success.